IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO.134 OF 1998

The Commissioner of Income-tax,
Bombay City - V, Bombay .. Applicant.
V/s.
M/s.Mysore Sugandhi Doop
Factory Pvt.Ltd. .. Respondent.

Mr.A.S. Rao for the applicant.

CORAM : V.C. DAGA &
J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.

DATED : 11TH OCTOBER, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant. The Tribunal has referred the question for the opinion of this Court reading as under :-

> Whether in the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Tribunal was right in law in holding that disallowance in respect of payment of remuneration and perquisites to directors be worked out under the provisions of section 40(c) of the I.T. Act, whereas the correct section to be applied is under section 40A(5) of the I.T. Act ?

2. The above question has already been answered by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **CIT V/s. Hico Products Pvt.Ltd. (No.1) [(1993) 201 I.T.R. 567 (Bom)]** in favour of the assessee and against the revenue. In this view of the matter, question stands answered in affirmative for the reasons stated in the case of Hico Products (P) Ltd. Reference, accordingly stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

****